

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/519,372	12/23/2004	Michael J. Precopio	SU 103 US	4152
Henry E. Mills	7590 12/04/2007	EXAMINER		
675 Golden Ha	ıwk Drive	•	LEVY, NEIL S	
Prescott, AZ 86	6301-6623		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615) <u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/519,372	PRECOPIO, MICHAEL J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NEIL LEVY	1615	

	NEIL LEVY	1015	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07(f)						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ACCURA.				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	·	<u>-</u>				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	t before or on the date of filing a N	latice of Anneal will no	nt he entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	M. Al	2				
		/lev	eles				
		NEIL LEVY Primary Examiner					

Art Unit: 1615

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Rejections of record stand, for the monohydric aralkyl (claim 1) can also have any number of added functional, pesticidally active groups (cl,f, cn---) all of which would need to be tested for attractancy, repellency, lethality against each of the array of ectoparasitic species; further, > 1 such compound is permitted, thus the permutations & combinations need be tested. Note also claim 45 requires benzyl alcoho, but any other. If only minimal testing where require, the prior art also would provide this basis for the artisan of ordinary skill to achieve satisfactory treatment of lice, given the standards of recognition of common sense, evident in the recent 2007 Supreme Court KSR case; one would want to treat to kill all lice, & not have repeated infestation- susch as would follow thourough washing & repeat treatment if reequired.